

Mathematics Department
PTAC Rubric May 2024
Appendix H: Assessment of CTF Candidates under 13.6.1

This rubric shall be used for the assessment of candidates for CTF appointments under Article 13.6.1 and shall not be used to evaluate Members for any other purpose. The completed Appendix H is for PTAC use only and shall only be made available to the Dean upon consideration in (e), or if there is a tie, and shall be made available to the Association in the event of a request under 23.5.3

used in the assessment:

List all sources of information used to assess the candidate, including: application form; cover letter; curriculum vitae; teaching dossier; student course surveys from WLU; student course survey from other institution(s); Member's Official File, if applicable; evaluation applicable; any other materials listed as optional in the job posting and provided by the candidate.

| | |
|---|--------|
| | Yes/No |
|)? | |
| If No, then the PTAC is required to discontinue the evaluation. | |
| ii. Further Qualifications | Yes/No |
| In addition to the required qualifications, does the candidate have the relevant qualifications and experience to teach the course? This may include qualifications experience in the field). | |
| If No, then the PTAC is not required to continue the evaluation. | |
| B. Competency to teach the posted course | /50 |
| Currency and mastery of the subject matter | /30 |

1. scholarship related to the area of specialization of the course
2. relevant professional experience (including training and professional development) related to the area of specialization of the course

Refer to Math PTAC Rubric: Appendix H Part Bi

ii. Previous teaching experience in the posted course (or substantially similar course)

/10

Award full point for each Laurier seniority point in the posted course or substantially similar course.

iii. Ability to perform the duties of the posted course

This rating is based partially on a review of institutionally documented student course surveys (based on a 7-point scale) for this course (or substantially similar courses) in the past five years. An initial rating will be made as follows:

- 10 points for excellent survey results (e.g., medians mostly 7s)
- 8 points for very good survey results (e.g., medians mostly 6s)
- 6 points for good survey results (e.g., medians mostly 4s and 5s)
- 2 points for satisfactory survey results (e.g., medians mostly 3s)
- 0 points for un

ii.

| | |
|--|------------|
| D. Other relevant qualifications and experience | /20 |
|--|------------|

Score with only these explicit values:

20-very good

15-good

10-satisfactory

5-limited

0-no evidence

Qualifications and experience under this section must be directly relevant to the course advertised and may include (but not limited to) those listed below. Overall rating is based on the strength of documentation and relevance to the course.

- < additional degrees or professional qualifications not already captured in Section B(i)
- < community engagement not already captured in Section B(i)
- <

